A Modified (t, n) Threshold Group Signature Generation and (k, m) Threshold Group Signature Verification Scheme

Ganesh Mante, Prof. Dr.S.D. Joshi

Abstract--Globalization of the Internet has boosted electronic information exchange on both the personal and business levels. There is a need of the authentication of messages sent by a group of individuals to another group. A (t, n) threshold group signature scheme is a method for allowing a member of a group to anonymously sign a message on behalf of the group. The idea of threshold cryptography is to protect information by distributing it among a cooperating member. Following some ideas of the classical threshold signature scheme, a (t, n) threshold group signature scheme and (k, m) threshold group signature verification scheme based on discrete logarithm problem is proposed. The group signature is generated by at least t group members and is verified by at least k members in the group. Only one group public key is required. Each group member separately signs the message. The scheme is highly secure and resists the conspiracy attack.

Index Terms-Discrete logarithm, Group Signature, Galois Field, Polynomial, Signers, Threshold, Verifiers

1. INTRODUCTION

raditionally to authorize the transactions done by corporation by the single person or group of persons is designed and implemented by software control defense mechanism. But considering the security threats in the highly popularized internet and mobile world above schemes are not satisfactory. Many cryptographic techniques are used to solve this problem. If the secret is kept with the single entity, it may cause the malicious damage to the system and there may also be the problem of availability. To overcome such problem the concept of threshold cryptography can be used in which the secret is distributed. Secret sharing refers to method for distributing a secret amongst a group of participants, each of which is allocated a share of the secret. The secret can be reconstructed only when a sufficient number of shares are combined together; individual shares are of no use. There are three main objects while designing a secure application:

Confidentiality: This can also be called privacy or secrecy and refers to the protection of information from unauthorized disclosure. Usually achieved either by restricting access to the information or by encrypting the information so that it is not meaningful to unauthorized individuals or entities.

Integrity: Assuring the receiver that the received message has not been altered in any way from the original. This can be thought of as accuracy. This refers to the ability to protect information, data, or transmissions from unauthorized, uncontrolled, or accidental alterations. The term integrity can also be used in reference to the functioning of a network, system, or application. Integrity is lost if unauthorized changes are made to the data by either intentional or accidental acts. To prevent the loss of integrity from happening, only authorized users should be allowed to modify data.

Authentication: Authentication serves as proof that you are who you say you are or what you claim to be. Authentication is critical if there is to be any trust between parties. Authentication is required when communicating over a network or logging onto a network. When communicating over a network you should ask yourself two questions: 1) with whom am I communicating? And 2) why do I believe this person or entity is who he, she, or it claims to be?

Nonrepudiation: The ability to prevent individuals or entities from denying that information, data, or files were sent or received or that information or files were accessed or altered, when in fact they were.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

Threshold Cryptosystems has gradually been attractive since the proposal of the first threshold cryptosystem by Desmedt and Frankel in 1989.Numerous international studies had published research results and considerable researches were devoted to the threshold cryptography. Threshold signature

Ganesh Mante is pursuing MTech in Computer Engineering inBharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University College of EngineeringPuneMS, India, . E-mail:gmante10@gmail.com

Dr.S.D.Joshi is Professor in Computer EngineeringDepartment inBharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University College of EngineeringPuneMS, India, . E-mail:sdj@live.in

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 2, Issue 7, July-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

cryptosystem is an important aspect of threshold cryptography, and represents almost the core of threshold cryptography research.

Li, Hwang and Lee [8] proposed the RSA based threshold signature scheme. In related research [8], it was pointed out that t+1 or t sub secret shareholders can conspire to obtain the system secret, and a conspiracy attack from the participants enables the conspirators to easily generate a group signature. The paper presents a technique where k out of I individuals is required to generate a signature for a message. This is clearly better than having each of the k individuals create k signatures which would cause an increase in bandwidth overhead. The receiver would also be required to perform more calculations and store a large key directory. No interactions between shareholders is necessary for the generation of signature and the secret key is not revealed to any individual even after signatures have been created .The scheme is based on RSA and interpolation polynomials. The scheme fails to withstand the conspiracy attack.

L.Harn [7] proposed a group oriented threshold signature scheme based on Elgamal System. In this scheme any t out of n users in a group can represent this group to sign the group signature. The size of the group signature and the verification time of the group signature are equivalent to that of an individual digital signature. The signature verification process is simplified because there is only one group public key required, the group signature can be verified by any outsider. In addition the scheme proposed does not require assistance of a mutually trusted party. Each member selects its own secret key and the group public key is determined by all group members. Each group member signs a message separately and sends the individual signature to a designated clerk. The clerk validates each individual signature into a group signature.

Li, Hwang and Lee [6] proposed two (t, n) threshold signature methods for resisting the conspiracy attack. One method required a trusted distribution center, while the other did not. These two methods resisted the conspiracy attack by attaching a random number to the sub-keys of all participants, such that the signatures could be protected against tracing from the sub-key. However these schemes failed to resist forgery attack from the internal participants.

Wang, Lin and Chang proposed two new (t, n) threshold signature methods [5]. The proposed methods enabled the signers traceable but do not require the attachment of random numbers to sub-keys. The scheme can withstand conspiracy attacks without attaching a secret number. The group's public key is determined by all members, each member signs a message independently and transmits the individual signature to a designated clerk who checks and integrates them into a group signature. A verifier can authenticate the group signature and track back to find the signers.

Tsen, Jan and Chien [4] forged an attack to demonstrate the insecurity in the methods by Wang [5]. They summarized the concepts of the attack and created a new threshold signature system that withstands conspiracy attacks [4]. The system is a signer-untraceable method against conspiracy attacks, where required two sets of keys, one depended on the discrete logarithm problem and the other on the dissolution of the large integer problem. Both of which attempted to prevent the system participants from conspiring to obtain the system signature key. In reality, the method disabled to prevent the sub-key holders from conspiring to obtain system secrets, and it thus failed to resist conspiracy attack.

Related to the research of threshold signature cryptosystem, the method of [4] by Jan is briefly described in [3].Besides the attack the way to improve the scheme is examined. Later on many threshold group signature schemes with and without trusted party [1] have been proposed. But many of them face the problems of conspiracy attack or insider forgery attack.

Based on the study of above research an attempt to implement the threshold group signature library is proposed [2].In this paper [2] we have modified the scheme at group signature generation and verification protocol. The scheme [2] is modified by using Shamir's secret sharing scheme for distributing the hash code among m members. When k or more members among m members comes together we can reconstruct the hash code. Using this hash code the group signature is verified. We are not considering the network delay so the algorithms can be executed on single machine. The scheme consists of (t, n) signing and (k, m) verifying. Till now any outsider could verify the group signature. Here in this scheme any m out of k can verify the message. If less then t and less than k members tries to sign and verify the signature signing and verifying is rejected. It can not only satisfy the properties of threshold group signatures, but also withstand the conspiracy attack. The scheme consists of six protocols:

– KeyGen: the group manager uses KeyGen protocol to generate system parameters and his master key.

– Join: a member runs join protocol, together with the group manager, to obtain a certificate as its group membership.

– Sign: a group member anonymously sign a message following sign protocol.

- Verify: a verifier uses verity protocol to check whether a signature is originated from a member in the group.

- Open: the group manager uses open protocol to find the original signer of a signature.

- Revoke: the group manager uses revoke protocol to exclude a group member.

3. PROPOSED THRESHOLD GROUP SIGNATURE SCHEME

Let p and q be two prime numbers satisfying |(p-1)|, and let $g = h^{(p-1)/q} \mod p$, satisfying g > 1, where 0 < h < p. Suppose $U_s = \{U_{s1}, U_{s2}, \dots, U_{sn}\}$ is a set of n signers $U_v = \{U_{v1}, U_{v2}, \dots, U_{vm}\}$ is a set of m verifiers, and GM is a trusted group manager. In this scheme, GM acts to authenticate every participant's identity, including members in U_s and members in U_v . All n members in U_s share a group of secure parameters of p_1q_1g . Similarly all m members in U_v share a group of secure parameters of signers and verifiers. The parameters p_1q_1g of both groups are different.

3.1 Group Formation

This module is used to form the groups of either signer or verifier. The secure parameters $p_i q_i g$ are generated after specifying the number of signers and threshold value. The public identities *usi* and *uvj* of every user is created and broadcasted for further computations. The parameters are generated by the head or authorized members of the group. And the parameters $p_i q_i g$ of both the signers group and verifiers group are known to each other.

3.2 Key Generation Phase I

All members in U_s negotiate their communication keys as below:

According to the given threshold valuet, every member of signer group secretly constructs a t - 1 rank polynomial:

$$f_{si}(x) = f_{si,0} + f_{si,1}x + \dots + f_{si,t-1}x^{t-1} \mod q$$

where x is a randomly generated integer in range of q and $f_{si,t-1} \neq 0.$ Simultaneously, he randomly selects a secret nonzero integer d in GF(p). Then he computes $D = g^d \mod p$ and $g^a \mod p$ where $a = f_{si,l} \mod p$, (l = 0,1,..t-1). Then U_{si} computes f(u) where $f(u) = f_{si}(u_{sj})$ and sends it $toU_{sj}(j = 1,2,...n)$. Every member computes f(u) by putting public identity of other members in their polynomial. At last U_{si} broadcasts D and g^a in the set of U_s . When every member receives f(u), they can verify its validity via the equation

$$g^a \mod p = \prod_{l=0}^{t-1} (g^{fsi_l})^{usj} \mod p$$

If the equation is true, then f(u) is valid, otherwise invalid.

3.3 Key Generation Phase II

When all participants complete the Key Generation Phase I step every U_{si} computes his Private Key $X = (d + j=1n(fu \mod p)\mod p$,Public key $Y=gX \mod p$, the group public key $Y_U = (\prod_{i=1}^n D \prod_{i=1}^n g^{a,0}) \mod p$ and additional data $Y^d \mod p$ and $D_SN = \prod_{i=1}^n D^{-1} \mod p$ where D^{-1} is the reverse of D in GF(p). U_{si} then broadcasts his public key Y.With the same procedure as above, according to the given threshold k, all m verifiers in U_v could negotiate their own keys. Every U_{vi} (i = 1, 2, ..., m) gets his own private key , public key Y and their group public key Y_U .

3.4 Join

When all members in a group have negotiated their communication keys, they can subscribe their public keys and identity information to GM for register. After communication keys have been negotiated every $U_s = \{U_{s1}, U_{s2}, \dots, U_{sn}\}$ sends (i, usi, D, Y) to GM to register via a secure channel. After GM has authenticated his identity, GM adds his public information into public key status list of U_s . The structure of public key status list for U_s is as below:

Table 1: Public Key Status List of Signers Group

Serial	Identity	Public	Public	Time-	Time-
number		data	key	start	end
i	usi	D	Y	Ti- start	Ti- end

Similarly, when all verifiers in U_v have negotiated their own keys, every $U_v = \{U_{v1}, U_{v2}, \dots, U_{vm}\}$ sends (i, uvi, D, Y) to GM to register via a secure channel. After GM authenticates his identity, GM adds his public information into public key status list of U_v . The structure of public key status list for U_v is as below:

Table 2: Public Key Status List of Verifiers Group

Serial	Identity	Public	Public	Time-	Time-
number		data	key	start	end
i	uvi	D	Y	Ti-	Ti-
				start	end

3.5 Individual Signature Generation

Among the *n* members in U_s , any *t* participants could sign a message M on behalf of the group U_s . Every $U_s = \{U_{s1}, U_{s2}, \dots, U_{sn}\}$ could generate his own individual signature as below:

Firstly, U_{si} randomly selects a secret integer w from [1, q - 1], and then he computes and broadcasts $W = g^w \mod p$ and $z = g^{wd} \mod p$ where d is U_{si} 's secret random integer brought during the key generation and d^- is d 's reverse in GF(p). After every U_{si} have received other participants' information through broadcast, he opens the document to be signed ,get the hash code of document and computes $r = \sum_{i=1}^{t} z \mod p$ and $s = Xah(M) - rwd \mod q$, where $a = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} (\frac{usj}{usj - usi})$. At last U_{si} sends (usi, r, si) as his own individual signature on the message M, to the appointed group signature generator, for example, U_{s1} .

3.6 Group Signature Generation

After the appointed group signature generator, U_{si} , have received the individual signature (usi,r,si) of $U_s = (U_{s1}, U_{s2}, ..., U_{sn})$ he firstly verify U_{si} 's identity according to the public key status list of U_s . Then he verifies the received signature of members using the equation $D^s \mod p W^r \mod p = ((Y^d \mod p))^{(h(M)a) \mod q}) \mod p)$.

If the equation is true, U_{si} 's individual signature is valid, otherwise invalid. After the appointed group signature generator have received t valid individual signatures (r,si)(i = 1,2,..t) he computes $D_{SA} = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{t} Yi^{ai}$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^{t} s \mod q$.

The group signature generator randomly selects a secret integer *w* from **[1**, *q* - **1]** where *q* is parameter of verifier group and computes: $B = g^w \mod p$, $Wvi = D^{-w} \mod p$, $Rvi = g^{Y^{**W}} \mod p$ (*i* = **1**,**2**,..*m*). Instead of sending the plain message the group signature generator generates a k - 1 rank polynomial:

$$f(Wvi) = M' + c1x + \dots + cnx^{k-1} \mod q$$

and send f(Wvi) to all the *m* verifiers. The first constant of the polynomial contains the hash code. We have used here the Shamir's secret sharing scheme. At last he broadcasts the computing value (B, (Wvi, Rvi)(i = 1, 2, ..., m)) the attachment information (s1, s2, ..., st, us1) and the group signature on the message $M: \{Y_{u,i}, r, s, D_{SA}, D_{SN}\}$.

3.7 Group Signature Verification

Among the *m* members in U_{v_i} any *k* participants could verify a group signature on a message M on behalf of the group U_{v} . Suppose that the *k* verifying participants are $U_{v1}, U_{v2,...}, U_{vk}$. Firstly, with his own private key, every $U_{v1}, U_{v2,...}, U_{vk}$ computes $Evi = B^x \mod p$ and submits (uvi, Evi, f (Wvi)) to the appointed group signature verifier, for example U_{v1} . When the appointed group signature verifier, U_{v1} have received all (uvi, Evi, f (Wvi)) from *k* members he firstly verify U_{vi} 's identity. He verifies their Signatures using $g^{Evi}mod p = Rvi \mod p$. And only when the equation is true, the Evi could be accepted as valid information. Then using LaGrange's interpolation he calculates the M'.

$$M' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(Wvi) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} Wvj/(Wvj - Wvi)$$

And when M=M', he verify the equation $g^{s}r^{r} = (Y_{U_{r}}, D_{SA}, D_{SN})^{h(M')}$. Only when the equation is true, the group signature $M: (Y_{U_{r}}, r, s, D_{SA}, D_{SN})$ could be accepted as a valid group signature on the message M. After the group signature has been accepted as a valid group signature.

3.8 Open

According to the attachment information (s1, s2, ..., st, us1) of a group signature on the message $M: \{Y_{U,I}, r, s, D_{SA}, D_{SN}\}$, GM could find the group signature generator U_{v1} . And then U_{v1} could find the *t* original signers according to the attachment information if necessary. And so every signer of a group can not cheat others successfully.

3.9 Revoke

To revoke one member from a group, the group manager modifies the end time of the member in public key status list and broadcasts the current public key status list. From then on the member could not participate in the group signing phase, but the former signature he has signed is still valid. The group needs to be reestablish again otherwise the group signature cannot be validated.

4. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATIONS

Let us consider (4,10) threshold group signature scheme and (3,7) threshold group signature verification scheme.

Signers Group (4,10)

p=1816218345	q=908109173	g=49348095	n=10
t=4			

Table 1: t-1 Rank Polynomial for Signers Group

Sr.No.	Secret (t-1) rank Polynomial
0	374608250 + 346904734x + 182289751x ^2
1	868810564 + 218695092x + 168322356x^2
2	413355085 + 86763102x + 501914094x^2
3	38145976x + 858621674x + 696205382x^2
4	630603303 + 61694211x + 270022641x^2
5	360146639 + 277382631x + 337837838x^2
6	639533263 + 655822425x + 261351068x^2
7	85163865 + 179079349x + 825133460x^2
8	704884322 + 300371029x + 208958953x^2
9	700408339 + 205985033x + 4926422x^2

Table 2: Secret and Public Data or Signers Group

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 2, Issue 7, July-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

Sr.No.	Public	Secret	Public Data
	Identity	Integer	5
0	206816993	749216502	802580371
1	544379168	1737621130	481114187
2	753140463	826710172	96759984
3	32681773	76291952	1591229164
•			
4	27135156	1261206607	510184518
5	126234132	720293278	1758735535
6	516543128	1279066528	1228077628
7	290594002	170327731	1112258881
8	167407918	1409768645	179753651
9	97087320	1400816680	612672

DsN=1764011415 DsA=1510672311 Yu=1688776651

s=39246999 r=1083719607

Group Signature=149868269, 1083719607, 39246999, 1041624746, 1616950608,694491120

Hash Code h (M) =259990256

Table 3: Private and Public Keys for Signers Group

Sr.No.	Private	Public Key	Y^d
31.110.	····uto	Public Key	тu
	Kev		
0	55703378	1273181910	1261988636
1	456885444	1191441665	529903641
2	218786096	519404539	817830204
3	511308809	1072364184	1350327779
4	801264388	813797593	1043923
5	1184846254	411715927	1423871035
6	830908383	311768614	1686897769
7	600632046	1676971309	19062471
8	161810618	42002733	1757617833
9	1262915633	677453475	784703410

Table 4: Individual Signature generation parameters

Sr.	W	W	Z	а
No				
0	447124506	1425347500	1119292772	210003925
1	797904024	1798787072	231947950	550289489
2	463633820	1538536398	160334846	479608818
3	609060840	613794371	1227591280	123676241

4	485036525	985756541	75081754	511149734
5	533159169	452037851	1662439130	494941918
6	846103153	439897386	196872050	561042396
7	31245283	558535592	1217843266	299154319
8	91412261	1276953878	762997581	850425485
9	329794391	1289608872	1479097201	460253541

Table 5: Individual Signature of t members

Signature of t members Si(ui,r,si)
206816993,1083719607,515001381
544379168,108319607,139251521
753140463,1083719607,90747750
32681773,1083719601,29373491

Verifiers Group (3, 7)

p=1233440437	q=313860109	g=750023093	t=7 n=3
W=280422927	B=500777311	Yu=540651610	

Table 6: t-1 Rank Polynomial for Verifiers Group

Sr.	Public identity	Secret (t-1) rank Polynomial
No	U	
0	165445911	88864740 + 42479123x + 16738126x^2
1	28107746	269833552 + 207252242x + 220591494x^2
2	10928703	252163176 + 8184826x + 170792860x^2
3	281846366	269243223 + 198898803x + 139446009x^2
4	87258429	158760250 + 126910135x + 203859976x^2
5	117158262	262405766 + 235395827x + 194180967x^2

6	89749836	218576337 + 50240072x +	
		81571354x^2	

Table 7: Secret and Public Data for Verifiers Group

Sr.No	Public	d	D
	identity U		
0	165445911	355458962	43695897
1	28107746	1079334212	310428576
2	10928703	1008652707	463487676
3	281846366	1076972895	505227632
4	87258429	635041001	982594177
5	117158262	1049623067	967139277
6	89749836	874305349	612415393

Table 8: Private and Public Keys for Verifiers Group

Sr.No	Private	Public Key	Y^d
	Kev		
0	776777489	502892010	454544786
1	86526481	342996072	341946667
2	489166984	326621402	536432244
3	1091138705	1077704761	825525416
4	92349481	1072757306	358381470
5	854762329	1153856044	252209418
6	594121894	433207104	95274841

Table 9: Parameters for	group signature	verification

Sr.No	Evi	W∨i	Rvi
0	16485648	37956837	1243728991
1	1020992787	711026492	771217153
2	385085893	1029836472	295914161
3	997707192	822659743	1183034315
4	65766093	18979610	541355953
5	1118268661	1093882907	770586974
6	964231443	138103631	898284503

5. SECURITY ANALYSIS

The security of the proposed scheme is based on the difficulty to solve the discrete logarithm problem and difficulty to break Shamir's secret sharing scheme. 1. In key generation Phase I the members cannot send false $f(\omega)$ to other members within the group. This can be verified using the equation

$$g^a \mod p = \prod_{l=0}^{t-1} (g^{fsi_l})^{usj} \mod p$$

2. In threshold group signature generation the individual member cannot send false signature (usi, r, si) to the group signature generator. This can be verified using the equation

 $D^{s} \mod p W^{r} \mod p = ((Y^{d} \mod p)^{(h(M)a) \mod q}) \mod p)$

3. If t-1 or less signers try to sign the message the group signature cannot be generated.

4. If k - 1 or less verifiers try to verify the message the group signature cannot be verified.

6. COCLUSION

The security of the proposed scheme is based on the difficulty to solve the discrete logarithm problem and difficulty to break Shamir's secret sharing scheme which we have used in threshold group signature generation and verification. Instead of sending the plain message with group signature we have encrypted the message using AES which maintains the integrity property. We have taken the double hash code of message to be signed so there are no limitations for message size. When k or more members come together the hash code can be reconstructed using Lagrange's interpolation and then only the threshold group signature can be verified. We have introduced a scheme where at least t of n signers could cooperate to create a valid group signature on behalf of the group of signers. Similarly at least k of m verifiers could cooperate to verify a group signature on behalf of the group of verifiers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to thank all the people who gave me an unending support right from the stage the idea was conceived. I am also grateful to BVDU team for providing us with the setup for work, without which implementation was just impossible. I express my profound thanks to Prof.Dr.S.D.Joshi and Dr.S.H.Patil,Head of Department, Dr.Anand Bhalerao,Principal ,BVDU College of Engineering,Pune who have guided and helped me in preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 2, Issue 7, July-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

- J.V.Merve, D.S.Dawoud and S.McDonald," A fully Distributed Proactively Secure Threshold -MultiSignature Scheme", IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol.18, No.4, 2007
- [2] F.Li,J.Yu and H.Ju, "A new threshold Group Signature scheme based on discrete logarithm problem", IEEE Eight ACIS International conference on software engineering, artificial intelligence, Networking and Parallel Distributed computing, 2007.
- [3] Y.F.Chung.C.H.Liu, F.Lai and T.S.Chen, "Threshold signature scheme resistible for conspiracy attack", *IEEE Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Parallel and distributed Computing*, *Applications and Technologies*, 2006.
- [4] J.Camenisch and A.Lysyanskaya, A signature scheme with efficient protocols, In SCN'02, LNCS 2576, 2002, pp. 268-289.
- [5] D.Boneh, X.Boyen, and H.Shacham, Short group signatures, In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto'04, LNCS 3152, 2004, pp. 41-55.
- [6] D.Boneh and H.Shacham, Group signatures with verifierlocal revocation, In Proc. of the 11th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security (CCS 2004), 2004, pp. 168-177.
- [7] J.Camenisch and J.Groth, Group signatures: Better efficiency and new theoretical aspects, In Security in Communication Networks (SCN 2004), LNCS 3352, 2005, pp. 120-133.
- [8] J.K.Jan, Y.M.Tseng, and H.Y.Chien, "A threshold signature scheme withstanding the conspiracy attack", *Communications of Institute of Information and Computing Machinery*, Vol.2, No.3, 1999.
- [9] C.T.Wang, C.H.Lin and C.C.Chang, "Threshold signature schemes with traceable signers in group communications", Elsevier, *Computer Communications*, Vol 21, No.8, 1998.
- [10] C.M.Li, T.Hwang and N.Y.Lee, "Threshold multisignature schemes where suspected forgery implies traceability of adversarial shareholders", Advances in Cryptology-Proceedings of EUROCRYPT '94, LNCS, Vol.950, Springer –Verlag, 1995.
- [11] L.Harn,"Group-oriented (t,n) threshold digital signature scheme and digital Multisignature", *IEEE Proceedings-Computers and Digital Techniques, Vol.141, No.5, 1994.*